

## Integration of Global Positioning System and Inertial Navigation for Ubiquitous Context-Aware Engineering Applications

Vineet R. Kamat  
University of Michigan

Manu Akula  
University of Michigan

**Abstract:** Context aware computing offers significant potential of improving decision making tasks in several engineering applications by providing support for tedious and time consuming activities associated with timely and accurate access to needed information. Bi-directional flow of information relevant to the spatial context of a mobile user requires continuous and accurate tracking of the user's position and orientation. The tracking technology used cannot be dependent on installed infrastructure because it is not possible to install such infrastructure in every building. Additionally, a disaster may cause partial or complete damage to the installed infrastructure itself. To overcome this problem, this paper presents research that investigated the development and effectiveness of a ubiquitous location tracking system based on the integration of Real Time Kinematic Global Positioning System (RTK-GPS) and Personal Dead Reckoning (PDR) technologies for dynamic user position tracking.

**1. Importance of the research:** Context aware computing is defined as the use of environmental characteristics such as a user's location, time, identity, profile and activity that is relevant to the current context [3]. Context aware computing can thus potentially enable mobile users (e.g. construction inspectors, fire fighters) to leverage knowledge about various context parameters to ensure that they get highly specific information, pertinent to the decisions at hand. The relevance for context awareness for mobile users has been demonstrated in several applications [1]. The concept of context-aware information delivery [1] centers around the creation of a user centered mobile dynamic indoor and outdoor work environment, which has the ability to deliver relevant information to on-site mobile users by intelligent interpretation of their characteristics in space and time so that they can take more informed decisions [8]. Context awareness is of great value for civil engineering inspectors, emergency responders, security and military personnel. For example, tracking civil engineers during post disaster assessments, or while conducting bridge inspection

reports, can allow bi-directional flow of streamlined information and thereby improve the efficiency of the decision making processes.

RTK-GPS is a convenient option to track a mobile user continuously in an outdoor environment. It is highly accurate and is free of accumulated errors. GPS, being a satellite-based navigation system, works very well outdoors but lacks support indoors and is unreliable in dense foliage, in so called "urban canyons" and generally in any environment where a clear line of sight to the satellites is unavailable.

In recent years, the need for indoor localization has been rapidly expanding in many fields and currently offers significant potential on construction sites in particular. However, unlike outdoor areas, the indoor environment imposes different challenges on location discovery due to the dense multipath effect and building material dependent propagation effect [5]. There are many potential technologies and techniques that have been suggested to offer the same functionality as a GPS indoors, such as Wireless Local Area Networks (WLAN), Ultra-Wide Band (UWB) and Indoor GPS. By tagging users with appropriate receivers/tags and deploying a number of nodes (access points, receivers, transmitters, etc.) at fixed positions indoors, the location of tagged users can conceptually be determined and continuously tracked by fingerprinting and triangulation. A detailed comparison of the WLAN, UWB and Indoor GPS systems has also been done in a recent study [6].

The main drawback of the aforementioned indoor tracking technologies is their dependency on pre-installed infrastructure making them unsustainable in a dynamic environment. To overcome this shortcoming, the authors have developed a Personal Dead Reckoning (PDR) system that does not require pre-installed infrastructure. The Inertial Measurement Unit based PDR system is very accurate in measuring linear displacements (i.e., distance travelled, a measure similar to that provided by the odometer of a car) with errors being consistently less than 2% of the distance travelled. The accuracy of the PDR system, however,

degrades gracefully with extreme modes of legged locomotion, such as running, jumping, and climbing [7]. The main drawback of the PDR system is the accumulated error that grows with the distance travelled by the mobile user.

A dynamic user-viewpoint tracking scheme has been designed and implemented in which mobile users' spatial context is defined not only by their position (i.e. location), but also by their three-dimensional head orientation (i.e. line of sight), thereby significantly increasing accuracy in the identification of a user's spatial context than is possible by tracking position alone. Based on this framework, a prototype application was developed using GPS and magnetic orientation tracking devices to track a user's dynamic viewpoint in outdoor environments [4].

Construction sites, and other civil engineering structures cannot be classified as purely outdoors or indoors and the mobile user navigating in these areas dynamically shifts between indoor, outdoor and "urban canyon" environments. The Integrated Tracking System (ITS) implemented in this research combines features of RTK-GPS and PDR, to continuously and effectively track the momentary location, orientation and trajectory of a user in *both* indoor and outdoor environments. Relevant information can be delivered to any decision maker in real time by monitoring the physical and environmental context and then reconciling the identified context parameters with the available pool of digital information.

**2. Integrated tracking system:** The ITS combines RTK-GPS and PDR systems and minimizes the shortcomings of both technologies by complementing them with each other through integration. The ITS is developed to continuously track a mobile user and to retrieve the user's location to the best possible degree of accuracy. A standardized format of Geographic Co-ordinates (Latitude, Longitude, Altitude) along with Time Stamp markings are employed to denote the user's location. The ITS performs two major functions:

- Provides a PDR system service when RTK-GPS is blocked
- Corrects the accumulated error (drift) in the PDR whenever RTK-GPS is available

#### *Integrated tracking system hardware*

The ITS hardware used consists of the RTK-GPS hardware and the PDR hardware. Our RTK-GPS uses a Trimble AgGPS RTK 900 base station and the receiver is Trimble AgGPS 332. A snapshot of the documentation describing the accuracy of the RTK-GPS used is shown in Figure 1.

Absolute accuracy of GPS positioning method		
GPS positioning method	Corrections used	Approximate absolute accuracy
Real-Time Kinematic (RTK) GPS	Trimble CMR corrections broadcast by a local base station	2.5 cm (0.98 in) + 2 ppm horizontal accuracy, 3.7 cm (1.46 in) + 2 ppm vertical accuracy

**Figure 1:** Accuracy of the RTK-GPS [9]


Our PDR system currently uses a small nano Inertial Measurement Unit (nIMU) strapped to the side of the subject's foot as shown in Figure 2. The IMU is connected to a small computer that can be strapped onto the user. The system is powered by a battery making the whole system portable. The RTK-GPS and the PDR are connected to the serial ports of a small portable laptop carried by the mobile user.



**Figure 2:** Small sized nIMU used for this research

#### *Integrated tracking system algorithm*

The accuracy of RTK-GPS (2 to 4 cm) is much higher than the accuracy of the PDR. The principle behind determining the ITS co-ordinates is that RTK-GPS co-ordinates, if available, always take precedence over the PDR co-ordinates. The PDR drift is corrected by applying a correction equal to the difference in the user's GPS and the PDR co-ordinates. When the user is disconnected from the GPS (i.e., user no longer has clear line of sight to the satellites), the PDR correction is the same as the PDR correction at the last instant the GPS was available. This correction is applied to the PDR until the GPS signal is regained. When the GPS is unavailable, the ITS co-ordinates are determined by the corrected PDR co-ordinates. Once the GPS signal is regained the PDR correction is updated and the GPS co-ordinates determine the ITS co-ordinates. This updated correction manifests as a "jump" in the ITS co-ordinates. The algorithm is shown as a flowchart in Figure 3.



**Figure 3:** Flowchart of the ITS algorithm


*Widely integrated simulation environment*

Widely Integrated Simulation Environment (WISE) is a JavaScript enabled website developed by the authors to help visualize the ITS. It is based on the emerging technology of Google Earth API and ASP.NET 2.0. The hybrid trajectory of the field staff tracked by the ITS is documented as Keyhole Markup Language (KML) and stored at the web server side. The user can query the location tracking state either online or offline through the web browser enabled with Google Earth Plug-In. On the request, the web server retrieves the relevant location, orientation, and timestamp, and posts it back to the browser side. The received data package is further parsed and rendered in the Google Earth virtual environment as seen in Figure 4. By doing so, the user can have a visualized sense about the current tracking status and also further numerically analyzes the drifting between RTK GPS and PDR.



**Figure 4:** Widely integrated simulation environment

**3. Validation Experiments:** In this paper we present the experimental results obtained with the ITS described in the foregoing section. These results focus on three different types of experiments (1) short and simple walks, (2) short and complex walks and (3) longer walks. The plan of a typical walk is shown in Figure 5.



**Figure 5:** Plan of a typical experiment walk

*Short and simple walks*

Relatively simple walks having duration between 3 to 5 minutes (indoors) are classified as short walks. These walks involved few turns and almost no abrupt disturbances in motion. Table 1 summarizes the “jumps” in the user’s position (ITS co-ordinates) when the user steps out of the building as GPS is recovered. The “jump” is the difference in the last dominant corrected PDR co-ordinates and the first recovered GPS co-ordinates. This is equal to the accumulated error of the PDR during the time spent by the user inside the building (i.e. when) PDR corrections were not being updated instantaneously using the RTK-GPS).

**Table 1:** Jumps in ITS coordinates for short and simple walks

	Walk 1	Walk 2
Last dominant PDR(Lat)	42.29406754	42.29469283
Last dominant PDR(Long)	-83.71153664	-83.71147129
Recovered GPS (Lat)	42.29407585	42.29469192
Recovered GPS (Long)	-83.71152177	-83.711455
<b>Jump (meter)</b>	1.536	1.347
	<b>Walk 3</b>	<b>Walk 4</b>
Last dominant PDR(Lat)	42.293688	42.29369639
Last dominant PDR(Long)	-83.71345745	-83.71349191
Recovered GPS (Lat)	42.29368167	42.29368364
Recovered GPS (Long)	-83.71345969	-83.71347379
<b>Jump (meter)</b>	0.727	2.058

*Short and complex walks*

Relatively complex walks having duration between 3 to 5 minutes (indoors) are classified as short and complex walks. These walks involved relatively more turns, abrupt disturbances in motion, climbing and sideward motion. Table 2 summarizes the “jumps” in the user’s ITS position co-ordinates when the GPS is recovered.

**Table 2:** Jumps in ITS coordinates for short and complex walks

	Walk 1	Walk 2
Last dominant PDR(Lat)	42.29369813	42.29369732
Last dominant PDR(Long)	-83.7134819	-83.71344807
Recovered GPS (Lat)	42.29368493	42.29367664
Recovered GPS (Long)	-83.71345852	-83.71346203
<b>Jump (meter)</b>	2.423	2.571
	Walk 3	Walk 4
Last dominant PDR(Lat)	42.29370127	42.29369917
Last dominant PDR(Long)	-83.71345722	-83.7134601
Recovered GPS (Lat)	42.29367843	42.29367342
Recovered GPS (Long)	-83.71345254	-83.7134486
<b>Jump (meter)</b>	2.566	3.013

*Longer walks*

Relatively complex walks having duration over 5 minutes (indoors) are classified as longer walks. These involved relatively more turns, abrupt disturbances in motion, climbing and sideward motion. Table 3 summarizes the “jumps” in the user’s ITS position co-ordinates when GPS is recovered.

**Table 3:** Jumps in ITS coordinates for longer walks

	Walk 1	Walk 2
Last dominant PDR(Lat)	42.2936999	42.2936882
Last dominant PDR(Long)	-83.71349888	-83.7134695
Recovered GPS (Lat)	42.2936725	42.29367521
Recovered GPS (Long)	-83.71349173	-83.71345096
<b>Jump (meter)</b>	3.101	2.102
	Walk 3	Walk 4
Last dominant PDR(Lat)	42.29393721	42.29484218
Last dominant PDR(Long)	-83.71318452	-83.71107857
Recovered GPS (Lat)	42.29390902	42.29483705
Recovered GPS (Long)	-83.71318044	-83.71103446
<b>Jump (meter)</b>	3.15	3.682

*Sustainability test*

To test the sustainability of the ITS we conducted a very long walk (over 30 minutes). The walk involved a lot of turns, abrupt disturbances in motion, climbing

and sideward motion in order to simulate a mobile user’s natural motion in a complex environment. The walk was divided into 6 parts; 3 parts were of a short duration, less than 5 minutes indoors, and rest were longer. At the end of each part, the user walked out of the building, recovered the RTK-GPS correcting the error in the ITS and continued his walk into the building. Table 4 summarizes the walk used for testing ITS sustainability.

**Table 4:** Jumps in ITS coordinates for the six parts of the sustainability test walk

	Part 1	Part 2
Duration (Min:sec)	4:30	4:44
Last dominant PDR(Lat)	42.29388927	42.29389793
Last dominant PDR(Long)	-83.71325826	-83.71319148
Recovered GPS (Lat)	42.29388571	42.2938827
Recovered GPS (Long)	-83.71327255	-83.71321428
<b>Jump (meter)</b>	1.24	2.53
	Part 3	Part 4
Duration (Min:sec)	4:52	7:23
Last dominant PDR(Lat)	42.29389745	42.29390512
Last dominant PDR(Long)	-83.71328397	-83.71336043
Recovered GPS (Lat)	42.29389404	42.29389401
Recovered GPS (Long)	-83.7133059	-83.71340656
<b>Jump (meter)</b>	1.85	3.99
	Part 5	Part 6
Duration (Min:sec)	8:18	8:49
Last dominant PDR(Lat)	42.29377712	42.29378699
Last dominant PDR(Long)	-83.71348004	-83.71348071
Recovered GPS (Lat)	42.29374614	42.29374324
Recovered GPS (Long)	-83.71348369	-83.71347634
<b>Jump (meter)</b>	3.45	4.87

**4. Conclusions and further work:** As tested to date, the ITS is very accurate for tracking smooth walks. The accuracy of the ITS, reflects that of the PDR and degrades gracefully with both path complexity and time spent indoors. Once the accumulated drift in the ITS starts to overshoot the satisfactory level the user needs to step outdoors and recover the GPS signal to reset the corrections. Depending on the degree of accuracy required by the context-aware application, the required frequency of corrections can be determined.

The average “jump” in the ITS co-ordinates when the GPS is recovered increases with the time spent indoors. This is expected because the corrections to the PDR are not being updated instantaneously due to RTK-GPS being unavailable. Table 5 summarizes the experimental results.

**Table 5:** Summary of jumps in ITS coordinates for different types of walks

Type of walk	Average Duration Indoors (Min:sec)	Average jump
Short and simple walks	3:45	1.4 meters
Short and complex walks	3:45	2.6 meters
Longer walks	6:15	3 meters

The ITS jumps in the sustainability test walk are reflective of the average jump of several complex walks with similar duration, indicating that the ITS is sustainable. The PDR accumulates heuristic drift due to non-straight motions like swaying, curving and turning. Although not implemented in these experiments, Heuristic Drift Reduction (HRD) algorithms have been developed for unstructured environment [2]. The PDR requires that the first eight steps are taken in a straight line. Significant deviation from the dominant directions while using the PDR software that does not account for heuristic drift will cause significantly larger errors.

We are currently working on using a PDR system with HRD software in our ITS to compare its performance with that of the current ITS version. We are investigating on improvising the ITS using a network of predetermined indoor correction points and human intervention. We are also planning to develop streamlined methods that will use context aware location systems for several applications. One such alternative context aware location system is being developed to help facilitate information retrieval for decision support in bridge inspection processes. The design and implementation of the presented ITS is the first logical step in pursuing research in these promising directions.

**Acknowledgements:** The presented work is supported in part by the National Science Foundation (NSF) through grant CMMI- 0927475. The authors gratefully acknowledge NSF's support. The authors also thank Drs. Lauro Ojeda and Adam Borrell, and Professor Johann Borenstein from the University of Michigan Mobile Robotics Laboratory for providing access to the Personal Dead Reckoning (PDR) inertial navigation system for evaluation in this research. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, and recommendations expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NSF.

## References:

[1] Z. Aziz, C.J. Anumba, D. Ruikar, P.M. Carrillo and D.N. Bouchlaghem, "Context-aware information

delivery for on-site construction operations," 22<sup>nd</sup> CIB-W78 Conference on Information Technology in Construction. Institute for Construction Informatics, Technische Universitat Dresden, Germany. CBI Publication No. 304, pp 321-32, 2005.

[2] J. Borenstein, L. Ojeda and S. Kwanmuang, "Heuristic Reduction of Gyro Drift in a Personal Dead-reckoning System," *Journal of Navigation*, Vol 62, No 1. January 2009, pp 41-58, 2009.

[3] J. Burrell and K. Gay, "Collectively defining context in a mobile, networked computing environment," *Extended abstracts CHI 2001*, Seattle, WA, USA, 2001.

[4] H.M. Khoury and V.R. Kamat, "High-Precision Identification of Contextual Information in Location-Aware Engineering Applications," *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 23(4), Elsevier Science, New York, NY, USA, 2008.

[5] H.M. Khoury and V.R. Kamat, "Indoor User Localization for Rapid Information Access and Retrieval on Construction Sites," *Proceedings of the 15<sup>th</sup> Workshop of Intelligent Computing in Engineering and Architecture (EG-ICE)*, Plymouth, UK, 2008.

[6] H.M. Khoury and V.R. Kamat, "Indoor User Localization for Context-Aware Information Retrieval in Construction Projects," *Automation in Construction*: 18(4), Elsevier Science, New York, NY, USA, 2009.

[7] L. Ojeda and J. Borenstein, "Personal Dead-reckoning System for GPS-denied Environments," *IEEE International Workshop on Safety, Security and Rescue Robotics (SSRR2007)*, Rome, Italy. September 27-29, 2007.

[8] B.N. Schilit, N. Adams and R. Want, "Context-aware computing applications," *Workshop on Mobile Computing Systems and Applications (WMCSA '94)*, Santa Cruz, CA, USA. pp 85-90, 1994.

[9] TRIMBLE, 2005. AgGPS 332 GPS Receiver User Guide, Trimble. Version 1.00, Revision A, Part number 56370-00-ENG.